NATIONAL ACADEMIES Sciences Engineering Medicine

Incorporating Climate into Macroeconomic Modeling

Workshop | June 14–15, 2023

Session 4: Projecting Economic and Financial Impacts of the Energy Transition

•	Emanuele Campiglio, University of Bologna	3
•	John Larsen, Rhodium Group	19
•	Neil Mehrotra, Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis	29



The role of transition-related expectations

Emanuele Campiglio^{1,2,3}

Incorporating Climate into Macroeconomic Modeling National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine Washington/Hybrid, 14-15 June 2023

¹University of Bologna - Department of Economics (DSE) ²RFF-CMCC European Institute on Economics and the Environment (EIEE) ³LSE Grantham Research Institute

Transition-related expectations

- Expectations key in defining transition pathways
 - Expectations of future policy implementation, tech development, physical/financial stranding, climate impacts...
 - Transition expectations affect investment decisions today
 - Misalignment of expectations can lead to disruptions

Transition-related expectations

- Expectations key in defining transition pathways
 - Expectations of future policy implementation, tech development, physical/financial stranding, climate impacts...
 - Transition expectations affect investment decisions today
 - Misalignment of expectations can lead to disruptions
- Very complex to capture them in full
 - Behavioural econ → expectations are heterogeneous, volatile, biased, forward-looking with finite horizon..
 - Neoclassical vs complexity macro modelling approaches
 - Still untapped in transition modelling: diagnostic expectations (e.g. Gennaioli and Schleifer 2018); heterogeneous expectations (e.g. Hommes 2021)...
 - An application to policy uncertainty and low-carbon transition (Campiglio, Lamperti and Terranova, 2023)

Numerous recent cases of policy reversals



Tony Abbott (2014)

"..the repeal of the carbon tax means a \$550 a year benefit for the average family" "On energy, I will cancel job-killing restrictions on the production of American energy - including shale energy and clean coal - creating many millions of high-paying jobs"



Donald Trump (2016)

Common reason for reversals: perceived transition costs

- Concerns regarding costs (unemployment, stranding, financial volatility) associated with low-carbon transition
- $\rightarrow\,$ Revision/withdrawal of announced plans



Gilets Jaunes movement in France (2018)



Kazakhstan protests after LPG price cap lift (2022)

Heterogeneous climate policy sentiments

• Uncertainty + behavioural factors \rightarrow Heterogeneous beliefs on policy credibility \rightarrow Heterogeneous carbon price expectations



Distribution of expected carbon price in the EU Emission Trading Scheme for different time horizons. Source: Cahen-Fourot et al. (2022). Data from Refinitiv (2021)

Model overview

- Dynamic model focusing on investment allocation choices
 - Two technologies: low-carbon (1) vs high-carbon (h)
 - Investment function of heterogeneous expected tech costs

Model overview

- Dynamic model focusing on investment allocation choices
 - Two technologies: low-carbon (1) vs high-carbon (h)
 - Investment function of heterogeneous expected tech costs
- Carbon price expectations affect investment choices
 - Firms observe policy-maker climate policy announcements (\bar{g}_{τ})
 - They evaluate its credibility: believers (b) vs sceptics (s)
 - Policy-maker can default on goals with high transition risks (function of policy ambition and carbon intensity of economy)
 - Actual tax depends on government's commitment (c)

Model overview

- Dynamic model focusing on investment allocation choices
 - Two technologies: low-carbon (1) vs high-carbon (h)
 - Investment function of heterogeneous expected tech costs
- Carbon price expectations affect investment choices
 - Firms observe policy-maker climate policy announcements (\bar{g}_{τ})
 - They evaluate its credibility: believers (b) vs sceptics (s)
 - Policy-maker can default on goals with high transition risks (function of policy ambition and carbon intensity of economy)
 - Actual tax depends on government's commitment (c)
- Heterogeneous choices across firms
 - β : Belief responsiveness to policy-maker track-record
 - γ : Investment responsiveness to perceived cost differentials
 - From 'neoclassical limit' without frictions (β = γ = ∞) to entirely random (full hedging) investment choices (β = γ = 0)

Analytical results

- Ambitious

 announcements +
 weak commitment
 → multiple
 equilibria (a
 'high-carbon trap')
- Heterogeneity → [']behavioural premiums' on tax announcement and commitment minimum levels



Steady state analysis (above: homogeneity; below heterogeneity).

Numerical results (calibrated to EU economy)

- Full commitment: full decarbonisation but heterogeneity affects transition speed
- Weak commitment: credibility loss → higher-carbon investments → weaker policies → transition failure







Long-term low-carbon capital share κ as a function of policy ambition $\overline{g}_{\mathcal{T}}$ and commitment c

- Capture transition-related expectations
 - Apply econometric methods to financial market data
 - Elicit opinions via surveys
 - Study communications via NLP
 - Run experiments online, in lab, in field

- Capture transition-related expectations
 - Apply econometric methods to financial market data
 - Elicit opinions via surveys
 - Study communications via NLP
 - Run experiments online, in lab, in field
- Improve their incorporation into prospective modelling
 - E.g. role of electoral cycles; financial dynamics

- Capture transition-related expectations
 - Apply econometric methods to financial market data
 - Elicit opinions via surveys
 - Study communications via NLP
 - Run experiments online, in lab, in field
- Improve their incorporation into prospective modelling
 - E.g. role of electoral cycles; financial dynamics
- Understand how to manage expectations
 - What is most appropriate policy/institutional framework?
 - Transition-related expectations alignment (e.g. via communication)

Central bank communication on climate change

- Central bank speech dataset \approx 30,000 speeches from 118 countries
- Several key climate-related topics emerging and evolving



Evolution of climate-related topics. Source: Campiglio, Deyris, Romelli (2023)





Thank you!

We acknowledge the support provided by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 853050 - SMOOTH)



Macroeconomic pathways and US GHG emissions

Projecting economic and financial impacts of a transition to a low-GHG economy

NASEM WORKSHOP: INCORPORATING CLIMATE INTO MACROECONOMIC MODELING | JUNE 15, 2023

John Larsen Partner jwlarsen@rhg.com

TEL: +1.212.532.1157 | WEB: WWW.RHG.COM

RHG-NEMS: the primary energy system model we use for US analysis



Created by the Energy Information Administration, modified, operated and maintained by Rhodium Group **Rhodium modifications and expansions to NEMS**

Update for recent federal and state policy

Update for recent market and economic conditions

Update cost and performance assumptions for clean energy technologies

Expand clean energy technology options in the industrial and electric power sector (carbon capture, direct air capture, etc.)

Project all 6 major greenhouse gases

Downscale select results to all 50 states

RHG-NEMS

Macroeconomic growth is the primary driver of GHG emissions

Macroeconomic growth rate, 2023-2035

Average annual % change



US cumulative net-GHG emissions, 2023-2035

Billion metric tons CO₂e



Source: Rhodium Group. Note: All scenarios shown do not include the Inflation Reduction Act.

Macroeconomic growth drives primary energy demand

QUADs



■Central ■High

Source: Rhodium Group. Note: Electric power energy is assigned to end-use sectors. All scenarios shown do not include the Inflation Reduction Act.

Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) analysis scenario design

- We assess the impacts of the IRA by comparing emissions under our three core Taking Stock 2022 emissions scenarios, which reflect all policy on the books as of June 2022, with emissions under those same scenarios plus the IRA.
- To conduct this analysis, we used RHG-NEMS, a version of the Energy Information Administration's (EIA) National Energy Modeling System modified by Rhodium Group.

TS 2022 Main Scenarios	Low Emissions	Central	High Emissions
Natural gas & oil prices	High	Mid	Low
Clean technology costs	Low	Mid	High
Economic growth	Central	Central	High

Congress passed the IRA with an array of spending and tax credits for clean energy deployment the drives US emissions downward

US net greenhouse gas emissions

Net million metric tons (mmt) of CO₂e



Where does the IRA drive down emissions? Primarily electric power

2030 GHG emissions (MMT CO₂e) with and without the IRA, central emissions scenario

Emissions w/o IRA IRA abatement Remaining emissions



The IRA shifts electric power investment and drives decarbonization

Cumulative electric power resource costs, 2023-2035

\$2022 USD Billions



Electric Power CO₂ intensity, 2020-2035



Source: Rhodium Group.

Key takeaways

- Macroeconomic conditions and assumptions around future growth are the primary drive of GHG emissions trends.
- When policies are enacted to tackle climate change they primarily shift the carbon intensity of energy production and consumption all else being equal
- The scale of clean energy investment, while large on its own is small relative to the overall size of the US economy
- Clean energy investment may shift economic activity within the energy system but won't have a large aggregate impact on the macroeconomic trajectory of the US
- Plenty of room and a real need for more decarbonization over the coming decades

Macroeconomic pathways and US GHG emissions

Projecting economic and financial impacts of a transition to a low-GHG economy

NASEM WORKSHOP: INCORPORATING CLIMATE INTO MACROECONOMIC MODELING | JUNE 15, 2023

https://rhg.com/research/taking-stock-2022/ https://rhg.com/research/climate-clean-energy-inflation-reduction-act/ https://rhg.com/research/ira-us-climate-policy-2030/

NEW YORK | CALIFORNIA | WASHINGTON, DC | HONG KONG | PARIS TEL: +1 212-532-1157 | FAX: +1 212-532-1162 www.rhg.com



INFLATION REDUCTION ACT AND THE CLIMATE TRANSITION

Neil Mehrotra

Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis

The views expressed here are the views of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis or the Federal Reserve System

National Academies Workshop June 15, 2023

INFLATION REDUCTION ACT SUBSIDIZES CLEAN ENERGY INVESTMENT

- Clean electric power generation:
 - Investment tax credit and production tax credit
 - Uncapped, expiring only after emissions targets are reached
- Electric vehicles and residential appliances
- Carbon capture and clean fuels:
 - ► Larger financial incentives allowing for CO₂ capture
 - Tax credit for clean hydrogen

KEY QUESTIONS

- 1. What are the implications of IRA for energy markets?
- 2. What are the macroeconomic implications of the climate provisions of IRA?

ENERGY MARKET IMPACTS

- 1. What are the implications of IRA for energy markets?
 - ► 50% increase in renewable power investment and sizable reduction in *CO*₂ emissions
 - Increased power generation capacity raises possibility of negative wholesale electricity prices
 - Significantly higher fiscal cost: \$900 bn over 10 years
- **2.** What are the macroeconomic implications of the climate provisions of IRA?

50% INCREASE IN CLEAN ENERGY INVESTMENT DUE TO IRA

- Large increases in new solar and wind projects over the next decade
- REGEN projection conservative relative to other modeling



IRA LOWERS CARBON EMISSIONS BY 7 PP

Emissions relative to 2005 levels



IRA RAISES POSSIBILITY OF NEGATIVE ELECTRICITY PRICES



- Wholesale price could turn negative up to 20% of hours
- More modest projected declines in retail prices of 2.2% by 2030

PROJECTIONS OF HIGHER FISCAL COST

COMPARISON OF REGEN AND JCT/CBO SCORE



MACROECONOMIC IMPACTS

- 1. What are the implications of IRA for energy markets?
- 2. What are the macroeconomic implications of the climate provisions of IRA?
 - Long-run supply-side benefits through lower electricity prices
 - Short-run increases in nonresidential investment that boost demand/raise rates
 - Macroeconomic environment post-pandemic may be less favorable to climate investment

HIGHER RATES NEGATIVELY IMPACT CLEAN ENERGY GENERATION



- LCOE for clean energy more sensitive to changes in interest rates
- Large construction cost increases over pandemic: structures up 20%, power plant equipment up 13% and transmission up 27%

TRANSITION IMPACTS ARE LIKELY MODEST

			REGEN	
	Nominal, 2018-2022 averages		IRA impact,	
			10-year avg	
	\$ billions	% of GDP	\$ bn (2022)	
Electric power structures	79	0.4	21	
Electrical transmission and distribution	52	0.2	7	

- Substantial structures investment but modest in aggregate
- FRB/US finds demand effects result in small increases in output, employment, core inflation initially
- Important limitations to FRB/US modeling:

-

- Does not include upstream investment effects (i.e. investments in clean energy supply chain)
- Combined effects of IIJA, IRA, and CHIPs Act

KEY TAKEAWAYS

1. What are the implications of IRA for energy markets?

- ► 50% increase in renewable power generation and 6-11 pp decline in carbon emissions
- Fiscal costs estimated around \$1 trillion over 10 years
- Possibility of very low or negative wholesale electricity prices
- 2. What are the macroeconomic implications of the climate provisions of IRA?
 - Increases in investment demand may rates interest rates or inflation
 - Macroeconomic environment post-pandemic may be less favorable to climate investment

Additional Slides

TAX V. SUBSIDY DISTRIBUTIONAL CONSIDERATIONS



Concerns that carbon tax disproportionately impacts poorer households

 A carbon tax/dividend welfare improving for bottom half if energy consumption increasing in absolute terms

SMALL MACROECONOMIC IMPACTS IN $\ensuremath{\mathsf{FRB}}\xspace/\ensuremath{\mathsf{US}}\xspace$



Macroeconomic Effects of Funds Rate Perturbation (VAR Expectations)

Back

2038 2040

2038 2040

CARBON TAX DELIVERS LOWER ABATEMENT COSTS

		IRA Scenario		CO ₂ Equivalent		Difference (p.p.)	
Metric (units)	2021	2030	2035	2030	2035	2030	2035
Generation Share (%)							
Coal	22%	11%	8%	7%	4%	-4%	-5%
Coal CCS	0%	3%	3%	0%	0%	-3%	-3%
Gas	39%	20%	18%	35%	34%	15%	17%
Gas CCS	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other	2%	9%	11%	7%	8%	-2%	-3%
Nuclear	19%	17%	14%	17%	16%	0%	2%
Hydro	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	0%	0%
Wind and Solar	13%	33%	41%	28%	32%	-6%	-9%
CO ₂ Emissions (% Reduction from 2005)	35%	64%	68%	64%	68%	0%	0%
Generation Price (\$/MWh)	N/A	\$56	\$52	\$65	\$62	16%	20%
Abatement Cost (\$/t-CO ₂)	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$12	\$15	N/A	N/A

Back