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Recommendations 2012

• An immediate review should be conducted of the chronic disease and macronutrient section.
• A less comprehensive review should be conducted of B12, choline and pyridoxine, zinc (after findings are released from iZiNCG), fluoride ULs, selenium ULs, energy, protein and chloride as funding and time permits.
• Greater transparency in the decision making process including clear justification for inclusion of experts and determination of nutrient values
• Clear documentation of all underlying decisions, evidence, assumptions and rounding processes
Actions following Framework

• Advisory Committee set up by steering committee from Aust and NZ health departments. Together decided on priority nutrients. 2013-2014
• Fluoride Expert Working Group (EWG) set up to focus on UL and AI
• Sodium EWG set up to model chronic disease methodology—although sodium not identified per se as nutrient of interest. Review still in progress but near complete.
• Iodine EWG to model vitamin/mineral methodology. Not on list of suggested nutrients for review and no published reason for choice. Possibly reflects steering committees interest in topic.
• CHO suggested but no interest from potential EWG members. Problem for review process in future.
Fluoride

• EWG closely followed framework. Performed systematic review to obtain all evidence available
• Comprehensive report available mid 2015
• All actions clearly documented and available on line
• Administrative support and research assistants provided
• 30d public consultation-comments available
• Review of paper by methodological experts responses and changes noted
• Review of paper by independent experts
• NHMRC council review November 2016. posted March 2017