

Special Considerations for Labeling in Pediatric Oncology



Lia Gore, MD

Professor of Pediatrics, Medical Oncology, and Hematology
University of Colorado Comprehensive Cancer Center
and Children's Hospital Colorado



University of Colorado

Boulder | Colorado Springs | Denver | Anschutz Medical Campus



Children's Hospital Colorado

Current State in Pediatrics

- Majority of children with cancer are treated in academic medical centers on clinical trial protocols
 - All pediatric oncology trials are essentially multi-agent, multi-year
 - Increasing international efforts
- Clinical trials are the GOLD standard in pediatrics
 - Randomized trials are thought to be of the highest value
 - Non-inferiority trials are rare in pediatrics
- Most children are treated “off label” due to a paucity of agents actually approved in pediatric cancer
- Level of evidence for availability and use depends on current technology and adult data/experience, as well as availability of drugs for pediatric use



Current State in Pediatric Oncology

- Relationship between FDA and pediatric oncologists is generally very positive and interactive
- Despite regulatory “wins” there is less incentive to develop drugs for pediatrics overall
 - Small market share / hard to recoup costs
 - Generics are probably even less incentivized in the current environment



Chemotherapy Agents Used in Childhood ALL by year of US FDA Approval

- | | | | |
|------------------------|------|--------------------|------------------|
| • 6-Mercaptopurine | 1953 | • Clofarabine | 2004 |
| • Methotrexate | 1953 | • Blinatumomab | 2014, 2016, 2018 |
| • Prednisone | 1955 | • Tisagenlecleucel | 2017 |
| • Dexamethasone | 1958 | | |
| • Cyclophosphamide | 1959 | | |
| • Vincristine | 1964 | | |
| • Cytosine arabinoside | 1969 | | |
| • L-Asparaginase | 1978 | | |
| • Daunorubicin | 1979 | | |
| • Teniposide | 1979 | | |
- A purple arrow points from the year 1979 (highlighted in a red box) to the year 2004 (highlighted in a red box). A purple arrow also points from the year 2004 (highlighted in a red box) to the year 2014 (highlighted in a red box).



Major Considerations for Drug Development

- Mechanism of Action
- Agent and formulation availability for peds
- Expected toxicities and CNS penetration
- Preclinical and clinical data availability
 - In the same disease or another ?
 - In adults or children?
- New endpoints would likely need to be consider for generic labels
 - Does = PK mean = response outcome ?



Scientific Barriers to Pediatric Oncology Generic/Bioequivalent Drug Development

- Drug metabolism frequently varies by age
 - Myriad variables
 - Age cohorts
 - Infant metabolism very different, usually minimal to no data
 - PK / PD data: when does physiology = adult ?
- Requirements for PK studies for approval yet in many peds studies, PK are “optional” and therefore data are scarce
- Pediatric-friendly formulations are not always available even for brand drugs and are expensive to develop, require additional testing and manufacturing, which subsequently delays access



Example of an age agnostic label:

BLINCYTO® (blinatumomab) for injection, for intravenous use

Initial U.S. Approval: 2014

WARNING: CYTOKINE RELEASE SYNDROME and NEUROLOGICAL TOXICITIES

See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning.

- Cytokine Release Syndrome (CRS), which may be life-threatening or fatal, occurred in patients receiving BLINCYTO. Interrupt or discontinue BLINCYTO as recommended. (2.3, 5.1)
- Neurological toxicities, which may be severe, life-threatening, or fatal, occurred in patients receiving BLINCYTO. Interrupt or discontinue BLINCYTO as recommended. (2.3, 5.2)

RECENT MAJOR CHANGES

Indications and Usage (1)	3/2018
Dosage and Administration, Treatment of MRD-positive B-cell Precursor ALL (2.1)	3/2018
Dosage and Administration, Dosage (2.2), Treatment of Relapsed or Refractory B-cell Precursor ALL	7/2017
Dosage and Administration (2.2, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7)	5/2017
Warnings and Precautions (5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.7, 5.12)	3/2018

INDICATIONS AND USAGE

BLINCYTO is a bispecific CD19-directed CD3 T-cell engager indicated for the treatment of adults and children with:

- B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) in first or second complete remission with minimal residual disease (MRD) greater than or equal to 0.1%. This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on MRD response rate and hematological relapse-free survival. Continued approval for this indication may be contingent upon verification and description of clinical benefit in the confirmatory trials. (1.1)
- Relapsed or refractory B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). (1.2)

- adult and pediatric
- disease-specific, biologically driven
 - dosing available across age spectrum
- frequent updates

Reasons to be Optimistic

- Newer legislation and incentives aim to improve incentives to conduct pediatric studies
 - Expectations should be realistic
- Pediatricians often use drugs off-label anyway so they are not daunted by lack of approval
- Newer approvals for brand names and newer applications – what can we extrapolate from adult studies ?
 - Example: approvals that are (nearly) age agnostic
 - Example: pembrolizumab approval is tumor-type agnostic, biologically driven

